



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,960	02/17/2004	Michael E. LaSalle	STG-001	9118

7590 07/19/2006

David P. Gordon  
Gordon & Jacobson, P.C.  
65 Woods End Road  
Stamford, CT 06905

EXAMINER

HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3721

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

88

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/779,960	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LASALLE, MICHAEL E.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher R. Harmon	<b>Art Unit</b> 3721	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-29, 36 and 37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-29, 36 and 37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/8/06 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3-16 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "said lifting and transporting applying opposed clamping forces" (claim 3, line 7) is confusing and indefinite. There seems to be a missing transition such as –steps including—between "transporting" and "applying".

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 3721

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 3-4, 10, 12, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pagdin (US 2,920,916).

Pagdin disclose a method of handling material comprising automatically arranging bags into groups by a conveyor 10 system to an accumulator station 12; lifting and transporting groups into a cross stacked configuration via suction/stacker head 19; see figures 1 and 3, column 2, line 21. Bags of material are inherently packaged at an upstream point in the process. Suction head 19 automatically lifts and transports arranged groups of elongate bags by applying opposing clamping forces by outer portion of member 50 along outer sides of bags while preventing bag slippage by inner portion of member 50; see column 3, lines 45+. The multi-row stack is thereby palletized and lifted for transport to a customer.

Regarding claim 12, during the lifting operation member 50 (connected to stacker head 19) comprises at least one inner portion/support structure that is disposed between bags and outer (lower) portions/fingers that apply opposing clamping forces.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 5-9, 13-14, 17-23, 25, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pagdin (US 2,920,916) in view of Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Pagdin (see above) do not directly disclose thermal insulation, polymer bags about 38/21/8.5 inches, glass fiber, however applicant admits these items to be well known in the prior art; see page 7, paragraph 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use these dimensioned products in the method taught by Pagdin for transport/shipping.

Regarding claims 7 and 20, the method of packaging cellulose material into elongated bags is well known in the art and would have been obvious to one skilled in the art for the known benefits of the modification.

Regarding claims 17 and its dependents, the claim is recognized as invoking 35 USC 112(6), however the "means for applying opposed clamping forces" is not determined to include the chain, rope, etc. as this would be an additional means for providing an additional function (ie. preventing elongate bags from sliding past one another). Pagdin does not directly disclose the means for packaging material, however the Applicant admits that this means is old and well known in the art, see page 7, paragraph 2. Pagdin discloses means for arranging elongate bags into groups is a plurality of rollers and diverters; see figure 1. The means for automatically lifting and

Art Unit: 3721

transporting groups and means for applying opposed clamping forces of Pagdin is determined to be a structural equivalent, see above.

7. Claims 13-16 and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pagdin (US 2,920,916) in view AAPA and further view of Milholen et al. (US 3,992,049).

Pagdin does not directly disclose the automatic lifting device comprising at least one support structure disposed between bags however Milholen et al. disclose a lifting device 10 with central bar support structures 106, 108, 110, and 112 which are disposed between layered products for support in lifting/transport; see figure 3. Central bar supports enter into/out of slots in tray 220 during the operation, which is considered part of a conveyor belt system; see figure 2.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Milholen et al. in the invention to Pagdin for keeping separate the bags during their transport.

Regarding claims 13-14 and 26-27, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include chain, rope, or wire in the support structure for operably separating the products.

8. Claims 11 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pagdin (US 2,920,916), and further in view of Kintgen et al. (US 4,271,755).

The cross stacking pattern is not specifically disclosed in Pagdin however Kintgen et al. describe a similar method including cross stacking three bags; two adjacent to each other; see figure 4 and column 3, lines 50-56. It would have been

Art Unit: 3721

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the cross stacking pattern as taught by Kintgen et al. in the invention to Pagdin to securely transport the stacked bags.

### ***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments filed 5/8/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Pagdin discloses a cross stacked configuration 13', 14'; see figure 1. The clamping forces are provided not only from the top but also opposing sides by means 50; see column 3, lines 50+.

Applicant's arguments concerning wire, rope, or chain are untimely due to applicant's previous admissions; see Final Rejection 2/6/06.

Note for future reference that in order to adequately traverse such a finding, an applicant must specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner's action, which would include stating why the noticed fact is not considered to be common knowledge or well-known in the art. See 37 CFR 1.111(b). See MPEP 2144.03(c) and also *Chevenard*, 139 F.2d at 713, 60 USPQ at 241.

### ***Conclusion***

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Chris Harmon  
Patent Examiner